

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 227267
ORIGIN ACDA-12

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-13 ISO-00 SOE-02 AF-10 ARA-10
CIAE-00 DODE-00 EA-10 PM-05 H-01 INR-10 L-03
NASA-01 NEA-10 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OIC-02 SP-02 PA-01
DOE-15 SAS-02 CEQ-01 OES-09 SS-15 ICA-11 /163 R

DRAFTED BY ACDA/MA/IR:RSTRAND
APPROVED BY ACDA/MA:ANEIDLE
EUR/RPM:DMC CONNELL(INFO)
-----008807 080401Z /12

P R 072305Z SEP 78
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY
USMISSION USNATO PRIORITY
USMISSION GENEVA PRIORITY
INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
AMEMBASSY PARIS
USMISSION USUN NEW YORK
AMEMBASSY BONN

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 227267

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS:PARM, CCD

SUBJ: COMMITTEE ON DISARMAMENT (CD) US/UK
CONSULTATIONS ON MEMBERSHIP

1. SUMMARY. FCO ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT CHIEF
MALLABY CALLED ON ACDA ASSISTANT DIRECTOR DAVIES
SEPTEMBER 7 TO DISCUSS CD MEMBERSHIP, PARTICULARLY
PROBLEM OF ASSURING THAT A NATO COUNTRY IS AMONG THE
FIVE TO EIGHT STATES SELECTED AS ADDITIONAL MEMBERS.
MALLABY AND DAVIES DISCUSSED HOW ALLIANCE AGREEMENT ON A
SINGLE NATO CANDIDATE MIGHT BE BROUGHT ABOUT. MALLABY
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 227267

ALSO RAISED ISSUE OF LIKELY SOVIET INSISTENCE ON CUBAN
MEMBERSHIP IN RETURN FOR ACCEPTANCE OF A NATO COUN-
TRY. END SUMMARY.

2. MALLABY SAID UK SAW CONSENSUS BEGINNING TO EMERGE
AROUND SIX OR SEVEN COUNTRIES IN SELECTION OF ADDITIONAL
CD MEMBERS: AUSTRALIA, VENEZUELA, ALGERIA, A BLACK

AFRICAN (PERHAPS KENYA), SRI LANKA AND/OR INDONESIA, AND CUBA -- RECOGNIZING, OF COURSE, US RESERVATIONS ABOUT CUBA. IN ADDITION, FINLAND HAD BEEN PROPOSED BY SOVIET UNION AND SEEMED TO ENJOY FAIR DEGREE OF SUPPORT ELSEWHERE. MALLABY SAID THE UK FELT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO ASSURE THAT ONE OF THE EIGHT POSSIBLE PLACES WENT TO A NATO COUNTRY BUT FEARED THAT, IN THE ABSENCE OF AN AGREED NATO CANDIDATE IN THE FIELD, THIS MIGHT NOT HAPPEN. TO PREVENT NATO FROM BEING "SQUEEZED OUT", UK BELIEVED ACTION MUST BE TAKEN QUICKLY TO GET AGREEMENT WITHIN NATO ON A SINGLE CANDIDATE OR, ALTERNATIVELY, TO GET THE SOVIET UNION TO AGREE NOW THAT THERE SHOULD BE A NATO PLACE. THE LATTER, MALLABY SAID, WOULD HAVE TO BE ARRANGED BY THE US AND WOULD PRESUMABLY REQUIRE US ACCEPTANCE OF CUBA.

3. DAVIES SAID US CONSIDERED INCLUSION OF A NATO COUNTRY TO BE SINE QUA NON FOR AGREEMENT ON A LIST OF ADDITIONAL CD MEMBERS. HE SAID THE US WAS STILL AWAITING OFFICIAL SOVIET RESPONSE TO ITS PROPOSED LIST OF CANDIDATES (REFTEL) BUT WAS AWARE THAT SOVIET PRICE FOR ACCEPTANCE OF A NATO COUNTRY MIGHT VERY WELL BE US AGREEMENT ON CUBA. HE SAID CUBA STILL PRESENTED A PROBLEM FOR THE US, AND WE WERE GIVING SERIOUS THOUGHT TO THE DILEMMA WHICH SUCH A SOVIET PROPOSAL WOULD PRESENT. DAVIES AGREED THAT THE QUESTION OF GETTING CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 227267

AGREEMENT ON A NATO CANDIDATE WAS AN URGENT ONE. HE SAID THE US HAD HOPED THAT ALLIANCE OPINION WOULD COALESCE AROUND A SINGLE CANDIDATE. HOWEVER, ALTHOUGH BELGIUM SEEMED TO ENJOY BROADER SUPPORT THAN ANY OF THE OTHER NATO CANDIDATES (DENMARK, NORWAY, TURKEY AND GREECE), THIS HAD NOT YET OCCURRED. DENMARK AND NORWAY CONTINUED TO PURSUE THEIR CANDIDACIES ACTIVELY.

4. REVIEWING SITUATION WITHIN NATO, MALLABY NOTED THAT BELGIUM WAS SUPPORTED BY THE NETHERLANDS AND, INFORMALLY, BY FRANCE. HE SAID THE FRG AND ITALY ALSO SEEMED TO BE LEANING IN THAT DIRECTION, BOTH APPARENTLY OUT OF A DESIRE TO ACCOMMODATE FRANCE. CANADA, HOWEVER, HAD INDICATED TO UK ITS PREFERENCE FOR NORWAY. (SEPTTEL REPORTS SIMILAR CANADIAN APPROACH TO US.)

5. IN ENSUING DISCUSSION, THREE POSSIBLE WAYS OF PRECIPITATING A NATO CONSENSUS WERE DISCUSSED:

A) NOTING PROVISION FOR PERIODIC REVIEW OF CD MEMBERSHIP AGREED TO AT SSOD, MALLABY SAID DANES HAD INDICATED WILLINGNESS TO ALTERNATE MEMBERSHIP WITH

NORWAY (PERHAPS AT THREE-YEAR INTERVALS, WITH DENMARK SITTING FIRST); MALLABY SUGGESTED THAT SIMILAR ARRANGEMENT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE FOR THE NETHERLANDS AND BELGIUM, ALTHOUGH THE NETHERLANDS CLEARLY SEEMED TO WANT BELGIUM AS A PERMANENT MEMBER IN ORDER TO AVOID THIS POSSIBILITY. MALLABY AND DAVIES AGREED, HOWEVER, THAT ROTATION WOULD NOT BE DESIRABLE, SINCE IT WOULD

NOT PROVIDE THE CONTINUITY OR EXPERTISE WHICH CD SHOULD HAVE AND WOULD CREATE A FORM OF "SECOND-CLASS" MEMBERSHIP.

B) MALLABY SAID IDEA HAD JUST BEEN SUGGESTED TO HIM IN WASHINGTON (WHETHER BY UK EMBASSY OR INFORMALLY CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 227267

BY SOMEONE IN USG WAS NOT CLEAR) THAT NATO SECGEN LUNS MIGHT BE ASKED TO CANVASS NATO MEMBERS ABOUT THEIR PREFERENCES AND SEEK TO ENGINEER AGREEMENT ON NATO CANDIDATE.

C) POSSIBILITY WAS ALSO DISCUSSED OF A MAJOR NATO MEMBER TAKING THE LEAD IN TRYING TO BRING ABOUT A CONSENSUS. MALLABY SAID UK WAS NOT PREPARED TO TAKE ON THE ROLE ALONE BUT MIGHT AS PART OF A GROUP. DISCUSSING POSSIBILITY OF A US INITIATIVE, MALLABY SAID HE WAS CONFIDENT THAT IF THE US WERE TO APPROACH THE UK, THE FRG, FRANCE, ITALY, THE NETHERLANDS AND CANADA, POINTING OUT THAT BELGIUM SEEMED TO HAVE THE BEST CHANCE OF COMMANDING ALLIANCE SUPPORT AND THAT EARLY DECISION WAS NEEDED, AGREEMENT ON BELGIUM COULD BE QUICKLY ACHIEVED. THE FINAL STEP IN THE SCENARIO WOULD BE TO PERSUADE THE OTHER CANDIDATES TO WITHDRAW AND TO JOIN IN THE CONSENSUS. DAVIES SAID THE US HAD TAKEN NO DECISION YET ABOUT HOW THE NATO PROBLEM SHOULD BE HANDLED; HOWEVER, IN A SCENARIO OF THE SORT SUGGESTED BY MALLABY IT WOULD CERTAINLY BE BETTER FOR APPROACH TO THE UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES TO BE MADE ON A COLLEGIAL BASIS. MALLABY AGREED AND SAID THAT AT THAT POINT JOINT DEMARCHES BY, SAY, THE US, THE UK, THE FRG AND ALSO FRANCE, IF IT WISHED, WOULD BE PREFERABLE; HE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT ANY ACTIVE US ROLE WOULD HAVE TO BE CAREFULLY MANAGED IN VIEW OF FRENCH SENSITIVITIES. AT THE SAME TIME, FRANCE WOULD PRESUMABLY BE HAPPY TO SEE THE US TAKE THE LEAD IN PROMOTING THE EMERGENCE OF A CONSENSUS ON BELGIUM AS THE NATO CHOICE AND WOULD WELCOME HAVING THE MATTER SETTLED IN TIME TO ASSURE NATO A PLACE AMONG THE ADDITIONAL CD MEMBERS.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 227267

6. DAVIES AND MALLABY AGREED US AND UK SHOULD GIVE
FURTHER THOUGHT TO QUESTION OF HOW TO ACHIEVE NATO
AGREEMENT ON A CD CANDIDATE AND CONSULT AGAIN AS SOON
AS POSSIBLE. CHRISTOPHER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: DISARMAMENT, MEMBERSHIP, COMMITTEES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 07 sep 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE227267
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: RSTRAND
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780365-0596
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780991/aaaacxwd.tel
Line Count: 179
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 17cbde55-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN ACDA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 06 jun 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 1514999
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: COMMITTEE ON DISARMAMENT (CD) US/UK CONSULTATIONS ON MEMBERSHIP
TAGS: PARM, UK, US, CCD
To: LONDON USNATO MULTIPLE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/17cbde55-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014